



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

flw

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/899,464	07/05/2001	Sundaram Ramakesavan	INTL-0596-US (P11737)	3421

21906                      7590                      10/05/2006

TROP PRUNER & HU, PC  
1616 S. VOSS ROAD, SUITE 750  
HOUSTON, TX 77057-2631

EXAMINER

GESESSE, TILAHUN

ART UNIT                      PAPER NUMBER

2618

DATE MAILED: 10/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

<b>Application No.</b> 09/899,464	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RAMAKESAVAN, SUNDARAM	
<b>Examiner</b> Tilahun B. Gesesse	<b>Art Unit</b> 2618	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1)  Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 July 2006.
- 2a)  This action is **FINAL**.
- 2b)  This action is non-final.
- 3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4)  Claim(s) 16-19 and 21-30 is/are pending in the application.  
4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6)  Claim(s) 16-19,21-30 is/are rejected.
- 7)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8)  Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10)  The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a)  accepted or b)  objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11)  The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a)  All b)  Some \* c)  None of:  
1.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
2.  Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
3.  Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- 1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_
- 4)  Interview Summary (PTO-413)  
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. \_\_\_\_\_
- 5)  Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6)  Other: \_\_\_\_\_

## DETAILED ACTION

### *Response to Arguments*

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 16-19,21-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

### *Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102*

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 16-17,21-22,25-26 and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Swab et al (US 2004/0029582 A1) Swab.

Regarding claim 16, Swab teaches a method (see fig.1, ad hoc pico network, and abstract and page 2 paragraph 0033-0035 and page 4, paragraph 0044) comprising:

Swab teaches receiving user image data to depict a participant in an ad hoc wireless network (eyewear transceiver 12, interfaces with Bluetooth enabled devices , such as mobile phone , PDA, laptop etc), figs.3-3-7 and page 2 paragraph 0033-0035 and page 4, paragraph 0044), in which receive video image in ad hoc wireless network).

Swab teaches automatically transmitting said data to other participants in the ad hoc wireless network( page 4, paragraph 0044), in which receive video image and transmit to one of the devices in ad hoc wireless network).

Art Unit: 2618

Claims 17 and 22, Fumarolo teaches receiving an audio file that identifies a participant (see page 4 paragraph 0043).

Regarding claim 21, Swab teaches an article see fig.1, ad hoc pico network, and abstract and page 2 paragraph 0033-0035 and page 4, paragraph 0044) comprising

Swab teaches a medium storing instructions that enable a processor-based system to receive user image data to depict a participant in an ad hoc wireless network (eyewear transceiver 12, interfaces with Bluetooth enabled devices, such as mobile phone, PDA, laptop etc), figs.3-3-7 and page 2 paragraph 0033-0035 and page 4, paragraph 0044), in which receive video image in ad hoc wireless network).

Swab teaches automatically transmit said data information to other participants (page 4, paragraph 0044), in which receive video image and transmit to one of the devices in ad hoc wireless network).

Regarding claims 26,25,30 Swab teaches a system (see fig.1 and page 2, paragraph 0033-0035)comprising:

Swab teaches a processor (see controller, page 2, paragraph 0034, page 4 paragraph 0044,0047)

Swab teaches storage coupled to the processor storing instructions that enable the processor to handle user image data to depict a participant in an ad hoc wireless network and transmit the information to other participants (see controller, page 2, paragraph 0034, page 4 paragraph 0044,0047 and figs. 1,3-7).

**Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103**

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 18-19,23-24,27-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swab in view of Fumarolo.

Claims 18-19 and 23-24,27-29 Swan does not teach selecting an icon to receive information. However, Fumarolo teaches receiving a user selection to receive an additional information (col.1, lines 33-68). Both Swab and Fumarolo teaches an ad hoc wireless network, then it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to select an icon on a display in Swab system, as evidenced by Fumarolo, in order to quick access or display the image, in order to save time and react immediately.

**Conclusion**

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tilahun B Gesesse whose telephone number is 571-272-7879. The examiner can normally be reached on flexible schedule.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on 571-272-7899.

The Central FAX Number is 571-273-8300. For patent related

Art Unit: 2618

correspondence, hand carry deliveries must be made to the Customer Service Window (now located at the Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314), and facsimile transmissions must be sent to the Central FAX number, unless an exception applies.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TG

9/28/06

  
TILAHUN GESESSE  
PRIMARY EXAMINER